



**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2674**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Tsuyoshi TAMURA

Group Art Unit: 2674

Application No.: 09/911,409

Examiner: K. Nguyen

Filed: July 25, 2001

Docket No.: 110195

For: RAM-INCORPORATED DRIVER, AND DISPLAY UNIT AND ELECTRONIC  
EQUIPMENT USING THE SAME

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the January 5, 2005, Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-25 are pending in this application.

Applicant appreciates the courtesies shown to Applicant's representatives by Examiner Nguyen in the March 18 telephone interview. Applicant's separate record of the substance of the interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

Applicant appreciates the Office Action's indication that claims 3-8 and 11-16 are allowed.

**I. The Double Patenting Rejection**

The Office Action provisionally rejects claims 1, 2, 9, 10 and 17-20 under judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-32 of copending Application No. 09/911,829. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal and reconsideration of the double patenting rejection is respectfully requested.

**II. 35 U.S.C. §101 Rejection**

The Office Action provisionally rejects claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly claiming the same invention as that of claim 27 of copending Application No. 09/911,829 ('829). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As discussed during the telephone interview, claim 27 and claim 20 are different, because claim 20 does not recite the feature "a reception circuit which differently improvises a differential signal input from the second port increases the moving-image data in a parallel state," as recited in claim 27 of the '829 application. Thus, as agreed during the personal interview, claim 20 and claim 27 of '829 are different. Thus, withdrawal and reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

**III. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter**

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 2, 9, 10 and 17-25 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,335,728 to Kida et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action alleges on page 5 that Kida discloses the claimed second control circuit as shown in Fig. 7. The Office Action further asserts that the column driver 35 and the row driver 39 control the display of still image data or moving image data that is stored in the

field memories 34A and 34B, and drive the display panel 36 to display. See, e.g., Kida, Fig.

7. These assertions are respectfully traversed.

Kida does not disclose "a second control circuit that is independent of the first control circuit, that controls the reading and still image data or the moving-image data stored in the RAM, and that drives the display section," as recited in independent claim 1 and similarly recited in independent claims 20 and 21.

The column driver 35 and the row driver 39 of Kida do not control the reading of the still image data or the moving image data stored in the RAM, and that drives the display section as recited in independent claims. The control circuit 37 of Kida, instead, supplies the control signals such as write enable signal, read signal, and the like to the field memories 34A and 35B. Nowhere does Kida disclose the claimed second control circuit.

Thus, claims 1, 20, 21 and the claims dependent therefrom, would not have been anticipated by Kida. Accordingly, withdrawal and reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

#### **IV. Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Randi B. Isaacs  
Registration No. 56,046

JAO:RBI/cfr

ATTACHMENT:  
Terminal Disclaimer

Date: April 5, 2005

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--